CSE 165: 3D User Interaction

Lecture #16: 3D UI Design

Jürgen Schulze

Announcements

- Homework assignment #4 due Thursday, March 20th at 3pm in lab 260
- TA evaluation:
  - between Monday, March 3 at 12:00 AM and Monday, March 17 at 11:59 PM

CAPE

- Submit CAPE forms on-line in weeks 9+10
- Responses to all surveys are completely anonymous.
- Only a summary of results is provided to the CS department and the instructor.
- This summary is provided AFTER final grades have been posted.
- A minimum number of three evaluations must be submitted by students for summaries to made available.

3D UI Design Strategies

Designing for Humans – Feedback Substitution

- Cannot always support all sensory feedback dimensions
- Typical approach is to substitute



Highlighting object about to be selected





Spring Manipulation Tools, Michal Koutek, TU Delft

Designing for Humans – Passive Haptics

- Match shape and appearance of virtual object with physical prop
  - User both sees and feels
- Advantages
  - Inexpensive haptic/tactile feedback
  - Establish perceptual frame of reference
- Disadvantages
  - Scalability
  - Performance improvements have not yet been measured



Designing for Humans – Constraints

- Constraints:
  - Are a relation between variables that must be satisfied
    - Example: a line should stay horizontal
  - Define geometrical coherence of scene
  - Can make interaction simpler and improve accuracy

Designing for Humans – Constraint Types

- Physically realistic constraints
  - Collision detection and avoidance
  - Gravity
  - Application dependent
- DOF reduction
  - Simplify interaction (example: constrain travel to ground)
- Dynamic alignment tools
  - Grids and snapping, guiding surfaces
- Intelligent constraints
  - Deal with semantics
    - Example: lamp can only stand on horizontal surfaces

Designing for Humans – Two Handed Control

- Also known as bimanual input
- Transfer everyday manipulation experiences to 3DUI
- Can increase user performance on certain tasks
- Active topic of research

Designing for Humans – Guiard's Framework

- Tasks are
  - Unimanual: throwing darts
  - Bimanual symmetric
    - Synchronous: pulling a rope
    - Asynchronous: typing on keyboard
  - Bimanual asymmetric (cooperative): holding a cell phone with one hand, operating it with the other
- Division of labor (hand roles) for asymmetric scenario:
  - Nondominant hand dynamically adjusts spatial frame of reference for dominant hand
  - Dominant hand produces precision movements, nondominant hand performs gross manipulation
  - Manipulation is initiated by nondominant hand

Designing for Different User Groups

- Age
- Prior 3DUI experience
- Physical characteristics: arm length, etc.
- Perceptual, cognitive, motor capabilities
  - Color recognition
  - Stereo vision
  - Spatial abilities

Designing for User Comfort

- Weight of equipment
- Keep users in proper physical space
- Hygiene and public installations
- Keep sessions short (30-45min max) to prevent sickness, fatigue

3DUI Design Strategies

- Designing for humans
  - Match design to human strengths
- Inventing 3D interaction techniques
  - Creative exploration of 3D UIs

Inventing 3D User Interfaces

- Realism (or isomorphism)
  - Borrowing from real world
- Magic (or non-isomorphism)
  - Deviating from the real world and introducing artificial, magic techniques
- Continuum between realism and magic

Inventing 3DUIs – Simulating Reality

- Tried and true approach
  - replicate world as close as possible
  - bring in certain elements
- Important for simulation applications
  - flight simulators
  - medical training
  - phobia treatment
- Dependent on application
- Advantages
  - User already knows how to do it from everyday experience
  - Can be implemented on the basis of designer intuition
- Disadvantages
  - Limitations of technology do not allow exact realism
  - Introduces limitations of the physical world into the virtual world

Inventing 3DUIs – Adopting from the Real World

- Adopt artifacts, ideas, philosophies, domains
- Architecture and movies
- Real-world metaphors
- Examples
  - virtual vehicle
  - flashlight
  - shadows



Inventing 3DUIs – Adapting from 2D

- 2D UIs studied extensively
- Most people fluent with 2D interaction
- Can be easier than 3D
- Approaches
  - 2D overlay
  - Elements in 3D environment
  - 2D interaction with 3D objects
  - UI on separate device, e.g., Ipad



Inventing 3DUIs – Magic and Aesthetics

- Real power of 3DUIs
  - better reality
  - alternate reality
- Overcome human limitations
- Reduces effects of technological limitations

http://www.cantonmagicrafters.com/images/rabbit.jpg

Magic: Cultural Clichés & Metaphors

- Examples: Flying carpet, Go-Go, WIM
- Advantages:
  - easy to understand if you know the metaphor
  - usually they are very enjoyable
  - many metaphors are available
  - need not to be learned
- Disadvantages:
  - the metaphors can be misleading
  - the metaphors are often rooted in culture
  - it is difficult to come up with good magic metaphor

3D UI Evaluation

Why User Evaluation?

- Need to compare
  - devices
  - interaction techniques
  - Applications
- Problem identification and redesign
- General usability understanding

Some Terminology

- Usability – everything about an artifact and what affects a person's use of an artifact
- Evaluator – person who designs, administers, implements, or analyzes an evaluation
- Subject – person who takes part in the evaluation

Evaluation Tools

- User task analysis
  - generates list of detailed task descriptions, sequences, user work, and information flow
- Scenarios
  - built from task analysis
  - important for experiment design
- Taxonomy
  - science of classification
  - break down techniques into components
  - used in evaluation process
- Prototyping
  - need to have something to test
  - paper-based sketches
  - Wizard of Oz approach

Evaluation Methods

- Cognitive walkthrough
- Heuristic evaluation
- Formative evaluation
  - observational user studies
  - questionnaires, interviews
- Summative evaluation
  - task-based usability evaluation
  - formal experimentation
- Questionnaires
- Interviews and Demos