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Announcements

- Project 5 due Friday


## Cubic Bernstein Polynomials

$$
\mathbf{x}(t)=B_{0}(t) \mathbf{p}_{0}+B_{1}(t) \mathbf{p}_{1}+B_{2}(t) \mathbf{p}_{2}+B_{3}(t) \mathbf{p}_{3}
$$

The cubic Bernstein polynomials :

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{0}(t) & =-t^{3}+3 t^{2}-3 t+1 \\
B_{1}(t) & =3 t^{3}-6 t^{2}+3 t \\
B_{2}(t) & =-3 t^{3}+3 t^{2} \\
B_{3}(t) & =t^{3} \\
\hline \sum B_{i}(t) & =1
\end{aligned}
$$

Bernstein Cubic Polynomials


- Weights $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}(t)$ add up to I for any value of $t$


## General Bernstein Polynomials

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
B_{0}^{1}(t)=-t+1 & B_{0}^{2}(t)=t^{2}-2 t+1 & B_{0}^{3}(t)=-t^{3}+3 t^{2}-3 t+1 \\
B_{1}^{1}(t)=t & B_{1}^{2}(t)=-2 t^{2}+2 t & B_{1}^{3}(t)=3 t^{3}-6 t^{2}+3 t \\
& B_{2}^{2}(t)=t^{2} & B_{2}^{3}(t)=-3 t^{3}+3 t^{2} \\
& & B_{3}^{3}(t)=t^{3}
\end{array}
$$





$$
B_{i}^{n}(t)=\binom{n}{i}(1-t)^{n-i}(t)^{i} \quad\binom{n}{i}=\frac{n!}{i!(n-i)!}
$$

$$
\sum B_{i}^{n}(t)=1 \quad \mathrm{n}!=\text { factorial of } \mathrm{n}
$$

$$
(n+1)!=n!\times(n+1)
$$

## General Bézier Curves

$n$ th-order Bernstein polynomials form $n$ th-order Bézier curves

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{i}^{n}(t)=\binom{n}{i}(1-t)^{n-i}(t)^{i} \\
& \mathbf{x}(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} B_{i}^{n}(t) \mathbf{p}_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Bézier Curve Properties

Overview:

- Convex Hull property
- Affine Invariance


## Definitions

- Convex hull of a set of points:
- Polyhedral volume created such that all lines connecting any two points lie completely inside it (or on its boundary)
- Convex combination of a set of points:
- Weighted average of the points, where all weights between 0 and I, sum up to I
- Any convex combination of a set of points lies within the convex hull


## Convex Hull Property

- A Bézier curve is a convex combination of the control points (by definition, see Bernstein polynomials)
- A Bézier curve is always inside the convex hull
- Makes curve predictable
- Allows culling, intersection testing, adaptive tessellation
- Demo: http://www.cs.princeton.edu/~min/cs426/jar/bezier.html



## Affine Invariance

## Transforming Bézier curves

- Two ways to transform:
* Transform the control points, then compute resulting spline points
- Compute spline points, then transform them
- Either way, we get the same points
- Curve is defined via affine combination of points
- Invariant under affine transformations (i.e., translation, scale, rotation, shear)
- Convex hull property remains true


## Cubic Polynomial Form

Start with Bernstein form:

$$
\mathbf{x}(t)=\left(-t^{3}+3 t^{2}-3 t+1\right) \mathbf{p}_{0}+\left(3 t^{3}-6 t^{2}+3 t\right) \mathbf{p}_{1}+\left(-3 t^{3}+3 t^{2}\right) \mathbf{p}_{2}+\left(t^{3}\right) \mathbf{p}_{3}
$$

Regroup into coefficients of $t$ :

$$
\mathbf{x}(t)=\left(-\mathbf{p}_{0}+3 \mathbf{p}_{1}-3 \mathbf{p}_{2}+\mathbf{p}_{3}\right) t^{3}+\left(3 \mathbf{p}_{0}-6 \mathbf{p}_{1}+3 \mathbf{p}_{2}\right) t^{2}+\left(-3 \mathbf{p}_{0}+3 \mathbf{p}_{1}\right) t+\left(\mathbf{p}_{0}\right) 1
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{a}=\left(-\mathbf{p}_{0}+3 \mathbf{p}_{1}-3 \mathbf{p}_{2}+\mathbf{p}_{3}\right) \\
& \mathbf{b}=\left(3 \mathbf{p}_{0}-6 \mathbf{p}_{1}+3 \mathbf{p}_{2}\right) \\
& \mathbf{c}=\left(-3 \mathbf{p}_{0}+3 \mathbf{p}_{1}\right) \\
& \mathbf{d}=\left(\mathbf{p}_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Good for fast evaluation
- Precompute constant coefficients (a,b,c,d)
- Not much geometric intuition


## Cubic Matrix Form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{x}(t)=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\overrightarrow{\mathbf{a}} & \overrightarrow{\mathbf{b}} & \overrightarrow{\mathbf{c}} & \mathbf{d}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
t^{3} \\
t^{2} \\
t \\
1
\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{l}
\overrightarrow{\mathbf{a}}=\left(-\mathbf{p}_{0}+3 \mathbf{p}_{1}-3 \mathbf{p}_{2}+\mathbf{p}_{3}\right) \\
\overrightarrow{\mathbf{b}}=\left(3 \mathbf{p}_{0}-6 \mathbf{p}_{1}+3 \mathbf{p}_{2}\right) \\
\overrightarrow{\mathbf{c}}=\left(-3 \mathbf{p}_{0}+3 \mathbf{p}_{1}\right) \\
\mathbf{d}=\left(\mathbf{p}_{0}\right)
\end{array} \\
& \mathbf{x}(t)=\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\mathbf{p}_{0} & \mathbf{p}_{1} & \mathbf{p}_{2} & \mathbf{p}_{3}
\end{array}\right]}_{\mathbf{G}_{B e z}} \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
-1 & 3 & -3 & 1 \\
3 & -6 & 3 & 0 \\
-3 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]}_{\mathbf{B}_{B e z}} \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{c}
3 \\
t^{2} \\
t \\
1
\end{array}\right]}_{\mathbf{T}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Other types of cubic splines use different basis matrices $\mathbf{B}_{\text {Bez }}$


## Cubic Matrix Form

- In 3D: 3 equations for $x, y$ and $z$ :



## Matrix Form

- Bundle into a single matrix

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{x}(t)=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
p_{0 x} & p_{1 x} & p_{2 x} & p_{3 x} \\
p_{0 y} & p_{1 y} & p_{2 y} & p_{3 y} \\
p_{0 z} & p_{1 z} & p_{2 z} & p_{3 z}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
-1 & 3 & -3 & 1 \\
3 & -6 & 3 & 0 \\
-3 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
t^{3} \\
t^{2} \\
t \\
1
\end{array}\right] \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{x}(t)=\mathbf{G}_{B e z} \mathbf{B}_{B e z} \mathbf{T} \\
\mathbf{x}(t)=\mathbf{C} \mathbf{T}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Efficient evaluation
- Pre-compute C
- Take advantage of existing $4 \times 4$ matrix hardware support


## Lecture Overview

- Polynomial Curves
- Introduction
- Polynomial functions
- Bézier Curves
- Introduction
- Drawing Bézier curves
- Piecewise Bézier curves


## Drawing Bézier Curves

- Draw line segments or individual pixels
- Approximate the curve as a series of line segments (tessellation)
- Uniform sampling
- Adaptive sampling
- Recursive subdivision


## Uniform Sampling

- Approximate curve with N straight segments
- N chosen in advance
- Evaluate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{x}_{i}=\mathbf{x}\left(t_{i}\right) \text { where } t_{i}=\frac{i}{N} \text { for } i=0,1, \ldots, N \\
& \mathbf{x}_{i}=\overrightarrow{\mathbf{a}} \frac{i^{3}}{N^{3}}+\overrightarrow{\mathbf{b}} \frac{i^{2}}{N^{2}}+\overrightarrow{\mathbf{c}} \frac{i}{N}+\mathbf{d}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Connect the points with lines
- Too few points?
- Poor approximation
, "Curve" is faceted
- Too many points?

- Slow to draw too many line segments
- Segments may draw on top of each other


## Adaptive Sampling

- Use only as many line segments as you need
- Fewer segments where curve is mostly flat
- More segments where curve bends
- Segments never smaller than a pixel



## Recursive Subdivision

- Any cubic curve segment can be expressed as a Bézier curve
- Any piece of a cubic curve is itself a cubic curve
- Therefore:
- Any Bézier curve can be broken down into smaller Bézier curves


## De Casteljau Subdivision

- De Casteljau construction points are the control points of two Bézier sub-segments



## Adaptive Subdivision Algorithm

- Use De Casteljau construction to split Bézier segment in half
- For each half
- If "flat enough": draw line segment
- Else: recurse
- Curve is flat enough if hull is flat enough
- Test how far the approximating control points are from a straight segment
- If less than one pixel, the hull is flat enough


## Drawing Bézier Curves With OpenGL

- Indirect OpenGL support for drawing curves:
- Define evaluator map (glMap)
- Draw line strip by evaluating map (glEvalCoord)
- Optimize by pre-computing coordinate grid (glMapGrid and glEvalMesh)
- More details about OpenGL implementation:
- http://www.cs.duke.edu/courses/fall09/cpsI24/notes/l2_curves lopengl_nurbs.pdf


## Lecture Overview

- Polynomial Curves
- Introduction
- Polynomial functions
- Bézier Curves
- Introduction
- Drawing Bézier curves
- Piecewise Bézier curves


## More Control Points

- Cubic Bézier curve limited to 4 control points
- Cubic curve can only have one inflection (point where curve changes direction of bending)
- Need more control points for more complex curves
- $k-1$ order Bézier curve with $k$ control points

- Hard to control and hard to work with
- Intermediate points don't have obvious effect on shape
- Changing any control point changes the whole curve
- Want local support: each control point only influences nearby portion of curve


## Piecewise Curves

- Sequence of line segments
- Piecewise linear curve

- Sequence of simple (low-order) curves, end-to-end
- Known as a piecewise polynomial curve
- Sequence of cubic curve segments
- Piecewise cubic curve (here piecewise Bézier)



## Parametric Continuity

- $\mathrm{C}^{0}$ continuity:
- Curve segments are connected
- $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ continuity:
- $C^{0}$ \& Ist-order derivatives agree
- Curves have same tangents
- Relevant for smooth shading
- $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ continuity:
- $C^{\prime} \& 2 n d-o r d e r ~ d e r i v a t i v e s ~ a g r e e ~$
- Curves have same tangents and curvature
- Relevant for high quality reflections


Overview

- Piecewise Bezier curves
- Bezier surfaces


## Global Parameterization

- Given N curve segments $\mathbf{x}_{0}(t), \mathbf{x}_{l}(t), \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{N-l}(t)$
- Each is parameterized for $t$ from 0 to I
- Define a piecewise curve
- Global parameter $u$ from 0 to N

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{x}(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
\mathbf{x}_{0}(u), & 0 \leq u \leq 1 \\
\mathbf{x}_{1}(u-1), & 1 \leq u \leq 2 \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\mathbf{x}_{N-1}(u-(N-1)), & N-1 \leq u \leq N
\end{array}\right. \\
& \mathbf{x}(u)=\mathbf{x}_{i}(u-i), \text { where } i=\lfloor u\rfloor
\end{aligned}\left(\text { and } \mathbf{x}(N)=\mathbf{x}_{N-1}(1)\right) \text { ) }
$$

Alternate: solution $u$ also goes from 0 to 1

$$
\mathbf{x}(u)=\mathbf{x}_{i}(N u-i), \text { where } i=\lfloor N u\rfloor
$$

## Piecewise-Linear Curve

- Given $\mathrm{N}+1$ points $\mathbf{p}_{0}, \mathbf{p}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{p}_{N}$
- Define curve

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{x}(u) & =\operatorname{Lerp}\left(u-i, \mathbf{p}_{i}, \mathbf{p}_{i+1}\right), & & i \leq u \leq i+1 \\
& =(1-u+i) \mathbf{p}_{i}+(u-i) \mathbf{p}_{i+1}, & & i=\lfloor u\rfloor
\end{aligned}
$$



- $\mathrm{N}+1$ points define N linear segments
- $\mathbf{x}(i)=\mathbf{p}_{i}$
- $\mathrm{C}^{0}$ continuous by construction
${ }^{-} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{l}}$ at $\mathbf{p}_{i}$ when $\mathbf{p}_{i}-\mathbf{p}_{i-l}=\mathbf{p}_{i+l}-\mathbf{p}_{i}$


## Piecewise Bézier curve

- Given $3 N+1$ points $\mathbf{p}_{0}, \mathbf{p}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{p}_{3 N}$
- Define N Bézier segments:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{x}_{0}(t) & =B_{0}(t) \mathbf{p}_{0}+B_{1}(t) \mathbf{p}_{1}+B_{2}(t) \mathbf{p}_{2}+B_{3}(t) \mathbf{p}_{3} \\
\mathbf{x}_{1}(t) & =B_{0}(t) \mathbf{p}_{3}+B_{1}(t) \mathbf{p}_{4}+B_{2}(t) \mathbf{p}_{5}+B_{3}(t) \mathbf{p}_{6} \\
& \vdots \\
\mathbf{x}_{N-1}(t) & =B_{0}(t) \mathbf{p}_{3 N-3}+B_{1}(t) \mathbf{p}_{3 N-2}+B_{2}(t) \mathbf{p}_{3 N-1}+B_{3}(t) \mathbf{p}_{3 N}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Piecewise Bézier Curve

- Parameter in $0<=u<=3 N$

$$
\mathbf{x}(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
\mathbf{x}_{0}\left(\frac{1}{3} u\right), & 0 \leq u \leq 3 \\
\mathbf{x}_{1}\left(\frac{1}{3} u-1\right), & 3 \leq u \leq 6 \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\mathbf{x}_{N-1}\left(\frac{1}{3} u-(N-1)\right), & 3 N-3 \leq u \leq 3 N
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\mathbf{x}(u)=\mathbf{x}_{i}\left(\frac{1}{3} u-i\right), \text { where } i=\left\lfloor\frac{1}{3} u\right\rfloor
$$



## Piecewise Bézier Curve

- $3 N+1$ points define $N$ Bézier segments
- $\mathbf{x}(3 \mathrm{i})=\mathbf{p}_{3 \mathrm{i}}$
- $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ continuous by construction
- $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ continuous at $\mathbf{p}_{3 \mathrm{i}}$ when $\mathbf{p}_{3 \mathrm{i}}-\mathbf{p}_{3 \mathrm{i}-1}=\mathbf{p}_{3 \mathrm{i}+1}-\mathbf{p}_{3 \mathrm{i}}$
- $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ is harder to achieve

$\mathrm{C}_{1}$ discontinuous
$\mathrm{C}_{1}$ continuous


## Piecewise Bézier Curves

- Used often in 2D drawing programs
- Inconveniences
- Must have 4 or 7 or 10 or 13 or ... (I plus a multiple of 3 ) control points
- Some points interpolate, others approximate
- Need to impose constraints on control points to obtain $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ continuity
- $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ continuity more difficult
- Solutions
- User interface using "Bézier handles"
- Generalization to B-splines or NURBS


## Bézier Handles

- Segment end points (interpolating) presented as curve control points
- Midpoints (approximating points) presented as "handles"
- Can have option to enforce $C_{1}$ continuity


Adobe Illustrator

## Piecewise Bézier Curve

- $3 N+1$ points define $N$ Bézier segments
- $\mathbf{x}(3 \mathrm{i})=\mathbf{p}_{3 \mathrm{i}}$
- $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ continuous by construction
- $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ continuous at $\mathbf{p}_{3 \mathrm{i}}$ when $\mathbf{p}_{3 \mathrm{i}}-\mathbf{p}_{3 \mathrm{i}-1}=\mathbf{p}_{3 \mathrm{i}+1}-\mathbf{p}_{3 \mathrm{i}}$
- $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ is harder to achieve

$\mathrm{C}_{1}$ discontinuous
$\mathrm{C}_{1}$ continuous


## Rational Curves

- Weight causes point to "pull" more (or less)
- Can model circles with proper points and weights,
- Below: rational quadratic Bézier curve (three control points)



## B-Splines

- B as in Basis-Splines
- Basis is blending function
- Difference to Bézier blending function:
- B-spline blending function can be zero outside a particular range (limits scope over which a control point has influence)
- B-Spline is defined by control points and range in which each control point is active.


## NURBS

- Non Uniform Rational B-Splines
- Generalization of Bézier curves
- Non uniform:
- Combine B-Splines (limited scope of control points) and Rational Curves (weighted control points)
- Can exactly model conic sections (circles, ellipses)
- OpenGL support: see gluNurbsCurve
- Demo:
http://bentonian.com/teaching/AdvGraph0809/demos/Nurbs2! /index.html
- http://mathworld.wolfram.com/NURBSCurve.html


## Overview

- Bi-linear patch
- Bi-cubic Bézier patch
- Advanced parametric surfaces


## Curved Surfaces

## Curves

- Described by a ID series of control points
- A function $\mathbf{x}(t)$
- Segments joined together to form a longer curve


## Surfaces

- Described by a 2D mesh of control points
- Parameters have two dimensions (two dimensional parameter domain)
- A function $\mathbf{x}(u, v)$
- Patches joined together to form a bigger surface


## Parametric Surface Patch

- $\mathbf{x}(u, v)$ describes a point in space for any given ( $u, v$ ) pair
- $u, v$ each range from 0 to I


2D parameter domain

## Parametric Surface Patch

- $\mathbf{x}(u, v)$ describes a point in space for any given $(u, v)$ pair
- $u, v$ each range from 0 to I

- Parametric curves

2D parameter domain

- For fixed $u_{0}$, have a $v$ curve $\mathbf{x}\left(u_{0}, v\right)$
- For fixed $v_{0}$, have a $u$ curve $\mathbf{x}\left(u, v_{0}\right)$
- For any point on the surface, there are a pair of parametric curves through that point


## Tangents

- The tangent to a parametric curve is also tangent to the surface
- For any point on the surface, there are a pair of (parametric) tangent vectors
- Note: these vectors are not necessarily perpendicular to each other



## Tangents

- Notation:
- The tangent along a $u$ curve, AKA the tangent in the $u$ direction, is written as:

$$
\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial u}(u, v) \text { or } \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \mathbf{x}(u, v) \text { or } \mathbf{x}_{u}(u, v)
$$

- The tangent along a $v$ curve, AKA the tangent in the $v$ direction, is written as:

$$
\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial v}(u, v) \text { or } \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \mathbf{x}(u, v) \text { or } \mathbf{x}_{v}(u, v)
$$

- Note that each of these is a vector-valued function:
- At each point $\mathbf{x}(u, v)$ on the surface, we have tangent vectors $\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \mathbf{x}(u, v)$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial v} \mathbf{x}(u, v)$


## Surface Normal

- Normal is cross product of the two tangent vectors
, Order matters!


$$
\overrightarrow{\mathbf{n}}(u, v)=\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial u}(u, v) \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial v}(u, v)
$$

Typically we are interested in the unit normal, so we need to normalize

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overrightarrow{\mathbf{n}}^{*}(u, v)=\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial u}(u, v) \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial v}(u, v) \\
& \overrightarrow{\mathbf{n}}(u, v)=\frac{\overrightarrow{\mathbf{n}}^{*}(u, v)}{\left|\overrightarrow{\mathbf{n}}^{*}(u, v)\right|}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Bilinear Patch

- Control mesh with four points $\mathbf{p}_{0}, \mathbf{p}_{1}, \mathbf{p}_{2}, \mathbf{p}_{3}$
- Compute $\mathbf{x}(u, v)$ using a two-step construction scheme



## Bilinear Patch (Step 1)

- For a given value of $u$, evaluate the linear curves on the two $u$ direction edges
- Use the same value $u$ for both:



## Bilinear Patch (Step 2)

- Consider that $\mathbf{q}_{0}, \mathbf{q}_{1}$ define a line segment
- Evaluate it using $v$ to get $\mathbf{x}$

$$
\mathbf{x}=\operatorname{Lerp}\left(v, \mathbf{q}_{0}, \mathbf{q}_{1}\right)
$$



## Bilinear Patch

- Combining the steps, we get the full formula

$$
\mathbf{x}(u, v)=\operatorname{Lerp}\left(v, \operatorname{Lerp}\left(u, \mathbf{p}_{0}, \mathbf{p}_{1}\right), \operatorname{Lerp}\left(u, \mathbf{p}_{2}, \mathbf{p}_{3}\right)\right)
$$



## Bilinear Patch

- Try the other order
- Evaluate first in the $v$ direction

$$
\mathbf{r}_{0}=\operatorname{Lerp}\left(v, \mathbf{p}_{0}, \mathbf{p}_{2}\right) \quad \mathbf{r}_{1}=\operatorname{Lerp}\left(v, \mathbf{p}_{1}, \mathbf{p}_{3}\right)
$$



## Bilinear Patch

- Consider that $\mathbf{r}_{0}, \mathbf{r}_{1}$ define a line segment
- Evaluate it using $u$ to get $\mathbf{x}$

$$
\mathbf{x}=\operatorname{Lerp}\left(u, \mathbf{r}_{0}, \mathbf{r}_{1}\right)
$$



## Bilinear Patch

- The full formula for the $v$ direction first:

$$
\mathbf{x}(u, v)=\operatorname{Lerp}\left(u, \operatorname{Lerp}\left(v, \mathbf{p}_{0}, \mathbf{p}_{2}\right), \operatorname{Lerp}\left(v, \mathbf{p}_{1}, \mathbf{p}_{3}\right)\right)
$$



## Bilinear Patch

- Patch geometry is independent of the order of $u$ and $v$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{x}(u, v)=\operatorname{Lerp}\left(v, \operatorname{Lerp}\left(u, \mathbf{p}_{0}, \mathbf{p}_{1}\right), \operatorname{Lerp}\left(u, \mathbf{p}_{2}, \mathbf{p}_{3}\right)\right) \\
& \mathbf{x}(u, v)=\operatorname{Lerp}\left(u, \operatorname{Lerp}\left(v, \mathbf{p}_{0}, \mathbf{p}_{2}\right), \operatorname{Lerp}\left(v, \mathbf{p}_{1}, \mathbf{p}_{3}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



## Bilinear Patch

- Visualization



## Bilinear Patches

- Weighted sum of control points

$$
\mathbf{x}(u, v)=(1-u)(1-v) \mathbf{p}_{0}+u(1-v) \mathbf{p}_{1}+(1-u) v \mathbf{p}_{2}+u v \mathbf{p}_{3}
$$

- Bilinear polynomial

$$
\mathbf{x}(u, v)=\left(\mathbf{p}_{0}-\mathbf{p}_{1}-\mathbf{p}_{2}+\mathbf{p}_{3}\right) u v+\left(\mathbf{p}_{1}-\mathbf{p}_{0}\right) u+\left(\mathbf{p}_{2}-\mathbf{p}_{0}\right) v+\mathbf{p}_{0}
$$

- Matrix form

$$
x(u, v)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1-u & u
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
p_{0} & p_{2} \\
p_{1} & p_{3}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
1-v \\
v
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Properties

- Interpolates the control points
- The boundaries are straight line segments
- If all 4 points of the control mesh are co-planar, the patch is flat
- If the points are not co-planar, we get a curved surface
- saddle shape (hyperbolic paraboloid)
- The parametric curves are all straight line segments!
- a (doubly) ruled surface: has (two) straight lines through every point

- Not terribly useful as a modeling primitive

Overview

- Bi-linear patch
- Bi-cubic Bézier patch
- Advanced parametric surfaces


## Bicubic Bézier patch

- Grid of $4 \times 4$ control points, $\mathbf{p}_{0}$ through $\mathbf{p}_{15}$
- Four rows of control points define Bézier curves along $u$ $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{0}}, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{2}}, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{3}} ; \mathbf{p}_{4}, \mathbf{p}_{5}, \mathbf{p}_{6}, \mathbf{p}_{7} ; \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{8}}, \mathbf{p}_{9}, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{1 0}}, \mathbf{p}_{11} ; \mathbf{p}_{12}, \mathbf{p}_{13}, \mathbf{p}_{14}, \mathbf{p}_{15}$
- Four columns define Bézier curves along $v$




## Bézier Patch (Step 1)

- Evaluate four $u$-direction Bézier curves at scalar value $u$ [0..1]
- Get points $\mathbf{q}_{0} \ldots \mathbf{q}_{3}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{0}}=\operatorname{Bez}\left(u, \mathbf{p}_{0}, \mathbf{p}_{1}, \mathbf{p}_{2}, \mathbf{p}_{3}\right) \\
& \mathbf{q}_{1}=\operatorname{Bez}\left(u, \mathbf{p}_{4}, \mathbf{p}_{5}, \mathbf{p}_{6}, \mathbf{p}_{7}\right) \\
& \mathbf{q}_{2}=\operatorname{Bez}\left(u, \mathbf{p}_{8}, \mathbf{p}_{9}, \mathbf{p}_{10}, \mathbf{p}_{11}\right) \\
& \mathbf{q}_{3}=\operatorname{Bez}\left(u, \mathbf{p}_{12}, \mathbf{p}_{13}, \mathbf{p}_{14}, \mathbf{p}_{15}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



## Bézier Patch (Step 2)

- Points $\mathbf{q}_{0} \ldots \mathbf{q}_{3}$ define a Bézier curve
- Evaluate it at $v[0 . .1]$

$$
\mathbf{x}(u, v)=\operatorname{Bez}\left(v, \mathbf{q}_{0}, \mathbf{q}_{1}, \mathbf{q}_{2}, \mathbf{q}_{3}\right)
$$



## Bézier Patch

- Same result in either order (evaluate $u$ before $v$ or vice versa)


Bézier Patch: Matrix Form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{U}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
u^{3} \\
u^{2} \\
u \\
1
\end{array}\right] \quad \mathbf{V}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
v^{3} \\
v^{2} \\
v \\
1
\end{array}\right] \quad \mathbf{B}_{B e z}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
-1 & 3 & -3 & 1 \\
3 & -6 & 3 & 0 \\
-3 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{B}_{B e z}^{T} \\
\\
\mathbf{C}_{x}=\mathbf{B}_{B e z}^{T} \mathbf{G}_{x} \mathbf{B}_{B e z} \\
\mathbf{C}_{y}=\mathbf{B}_{B e z}^{T} \mathbf{G}_{y} \mathbf{B}_{B e z} \\
\mathbf{C}_{z}=\mathbf{B}_{B e z}^{T} \mathbf{G}_{z} \mathbf{B}_{B e z}
\end{aligned} \quad \mathbf{G}_{x}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
p_{0 x} & p_{1 x} & p_{2 x} & p_{3 x} \\
p_{4 x} & p_{5 x} & p_{6 x} & p_{7 x} \\
p_{8 x} & p_{9 x} & p_{10 x} & p_{11 x} \\
p_{12 x} & p_{13 x} & p_{14 x} & p_{15 x}
\end{array}\right], \mathbf{G}_{y}=\cdots, \mathbf{G}_{z}=\cdots,
$$

$$
\mathbf{x}(u, v)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{V}^{T} \mathbf{C}_{x} \mathbf{U} \\
\mathbf{V}^{T} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{U} \\
\mathbf{V}^{T} \mathbf{C}_{z} \mathbf{U}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Bézier Patch: Matrix Form

- $\mathbf{C}_{x}$ stores the coefficients of the bicubic equation for $x$
- $\mathbf{C}_{y}$ stores the coefficients of the bicubic equation for $y$
- $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{z}}$ stores the coefficients of the bicubic equation for $z$
- $\mathbf{G}_{x}$ stores the geometry ( $x$ components of the control points)
- $\mathbf{G}_{y}$ stores the geometry ( $y$ components of the control points)
- $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{z}}$ stores the geometry ( $z$ components of the control points)
- $\mathbf{B}_{\text {Bez }}$ is the basis matrix (Bézier basis)
v $\mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{V}$ are the vectors formed from the powers of $u$ and $v$
- Compact notation
- Leads to efficient method of computation
- Can take advantage of hardware support for $4 \times 4$ matrix arithmetic


## Properties

- Convex hull: any point on the surface will fall within the convex hull of the control points
- Interpolates 4 corner points
- Approximates other 12 points, which act as "handles"
- The boundaries of the patch are the Bézier curves defined by the points on the mesh edges
- The parametric curves are all Bézier curves



## Tangents of a Bézier patch

- Remember parametric curves $\mathbf{x}\left(u, v_{0}\right), \mathbf{x}\left(u_{0}, v\right)$ where $v_{0,} u_{0}$ is fixed
- Tangents to surface $=$ tangents to parametric curves
- Tangents are partial derivatives of $\mathbf{x}(u, v)$
- Normal is cross product of the tangents



## Tangents of a Bézier patch

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{0}}=\operatorname{Bez}\left(u, \mathbf{p}_{0}, \mathbf{p}_{1}, \mathbf{p}_{2}, \mathbf{p}_{3}\right) & \begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{0}}=\operatorname{Bez}\left(v, \mathbf{p}_{0}, \mathbf{p}_{4}, \mathbf{p}_{8}, \mathbf{p}_{12}\right) \\
\mathbf{q}_{1}=\operatorname{Bez}\left(u, \mathbf{p}_{4}, \mathbf{p}_{5}, \mathbf{p}_{6}, \mathbf{p}_{7}\right) \\
\mathbf{q}_{2}=\operatorname{Bez}\left(u, \mathbf{p}_{8}, \mathbf{p}_{9}, \mathbf{p}_{10}, \mathbf{p}_{11}\right) \\
\mathbf{q}_{3}=\operatorname{Bez}\left(u, \mathbf{p}_{12}, \mathbf{p}_{13}, \mathbf{p}_{14}, \mathbf{p}_{15}\right) \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial v}(u, v)=\operatorname{Bez}\left(v, \mathbf{p}_{1}, \mathbf{p}_{5}, \mathbf{p}_{9}, \mathbf{p}_{13}\right) \\
\mathbf{r}_{2}=\operatorname{Bez}\left(v, \mathbf{p}_{2}, \mathbf{p}_{6}, \mathbf{p}_{10}, \mathbf{p}_{14}\right) \\
\left.\mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{q}_{3}\right)
\end{array} \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial u}(u, v)=\operatorname{Bez}\left(v, \mathbf{p}_{3}, \mathbf{p}_{7}, \mathbf{p}_{11}, \mathbf{p}_{15}\right)
\end{array}
$$

## Tessellating a Bézier patch

- Uniform tessellation is most straightforward
- Evaluate points on a grid of $u, v$ coordinates
- Compute tangents at each point, take cross product to get per-vertex normal
- Draw triangle strips with gIBegin(GL_TRIANGLE_STRIP)

- Adaptive tessellation/recursive subdivision
- Potential for "cracks" if patches on opposite sides of an edge divide differently
- Tricky to get right, but can be done


## Piecewise Bézier Surface

- Lay out grid of adjacent meshes of control points
- For $\mathrm{C}^{0}$ continuity, must share points on the edge
- Each edge of a Bézier patch is a Bézier curve based only on the edge mesh points
- So if adjacent meshes share edge points, the patches will line up exactly
- But we have a crease...


Grid of control points


Piecewise Bézier surface

## $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ Continuity

- We want the parametric curves that cross each edge to have $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ continuity
- So the handles must be equal-and-opposite across the edge:

http://www.spiritone.com/~english/cyclopedia/patches.html


## Modeling With Bézier Patches

- Original Utah teapot, from Martin Newell's PhD thesis, consisted of 28 Bézier patches.
- The original had no rim for the lid and no bottom
- Later, four more patches were added to
 create a bottom, bringing the total to 32
- The data set was used by a number of people, including graphics guru Jim Blinn. In a demonstration of a system of his he scaled the teapot by .75 , creating
 a stubbier teapot. He found it more pleasing to the eye, and it was this scaled version that became the highly popular dataset used today.

Overview

- Bi-linear patch
- Bi-cubic Bézier patch
- Advanced parametric surfaces


## Problems with Bezier and NURBS Patches

- NURBS surfaces are versatile
- Conic sections
- Can blend, merge, trim...
- But:
- Any surface will be made of quadrilateral patches (quadrilateral topology)
- This makes it hard to
- Join or abut curved pieces
- Build surfaces with complex topology or structure


